

EXHIBIT C

**GLOBAL NOTES TO SCHEDULES AND STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL AFFAIRS OF
DEBTORS, STREAM TV NETWORKS, INC., CASE NO. 23-10763(mdc) AND
TECHNOVATIVE MEDIA, INC., CAUSE NO. 10764 (Joint Administration Requested)**

The Debtors develop their technology and conduct the majority of their business through their direct and indirect foreign and domestic subsidiaries. The Debtors provided capital to their direct and indirect foreign and domestic subsidiaries for the purpose of acquiring and developing assets and to conduct business operations. The Debtors direct interested parties to the *Declaration of Mathu Rajan in Support of Stream TV Networks, Inc. and Technovative Media, Inc. Chapter 11 Petition, Supporting Emergency Relief, and First Day Motions* [Docket No. 48] (“Rajan Declaration”) in which the Debtors’ organizational and operational structure is described in greater detail.

In its bankruptcy schedules, the Debtors disclose and describe the assets, including their ownership interest in direct subsidiaries and their contracts, that the Debtors owned as of March 15, 2023 (the “Petition Date”). The Debtors’ direct subsidiaries, in turn, hold or may hold ownership interests in the Debtors’ indirect subsidiaries as depicted on the Debtor’s Organization Chart included in the Rajans Declaration. The assets of the Debtors’ direct and indirect subsidiaries are not included in the Debtors’ bankruptcy schedules.

The Debtors intend to complete a recovery of assets which were previously and improperly transferred in contravention of express provisions of its corporate charter through an invalidated board settlement agreement as confirmed by the Delaware Supreme Court through an *en banc* unanimous decision on June 15, 2022. *See Stream TV Networks, Inc. v. Seecubic, Inc.*, No. 360, 2021, p. 3 (Del. June 15, 2022) (Holding that “a majority vote of Class B stockholders is required under Stream’s charter” to “transfer pledged assets to secured creditors in connection with what was, in essence, a privately structured foreclosure transaction”).¹ The Delaware Supreme Court also held that the “agreement authorizing the secured creditors to transfer Stream’s pledged assets . . . is **invalid** because Stream’s unambiguous certificate of incorporation required the approval of Stream’s Class B stockholders.” *Id.* at p. 34. (emphasis added).

¹ A debt resolution committee of the Debtor’s Board of Directors purporting to act on the Debtor’s behalf reached an unauthorized settlement enshrined in an agreement titled as the Omnibus Agreement, dated May 6, 2021, between the Debtor’s senior secured lender, SLS Holdings VI, LLC (“SLS”) and the Debtor’s debt resolution committee, which was which approved by the Delaware Court of Chancery and then held to be invalid by the Delaware Supreme Court on appeal. Prior to the Delaware Supreme Court ruling the SLS Holdings and its newly formed company,

The new company formed by the purported secured lenders,² SeeCubic, Inc. (“SeeCubic”),³ asserted an ownership interest in all of the Debtor’s assets and took possession of, and in some cases, destroyed the Debtors’ assets. The Chancery Court, on remand and with direction from the Delaware Supreme Court to effectuate the dismissal and vacating of its prior injunctive relief, has made it clear that the assets must be returned **both in title and possession to the Debtors.**⁴ Furthermore, the Chancery Court on August 10, 2022, issued an *Order Granting Partial Final Judgment* in favor of the Debtor. The order stated: “Pending transfer of the Assets from SeeCubic to Stream, SeeCubic and all those acting in concert with it shall not use, impair, encumber, or transfer the Assets, except as necessary to maintain the Assets in the ordinary course of business and preserve their value pending transfer to Stream.” Rajan Declaration, Exhibit BB. Despite these clear directives, the purported secured lenders have resisted and continue to resist return of the assets.

The Debtors have also been alerted to SeeCubic’s violation of intellectual property licenses which were held by the Debtors and were non-transferable, actions violating third party rights under applicable Federal and state law which cannot be remedied or cleansed by the invalidated settlement, even prior to its invalidation by the Delaware Supreme Court. Even before the Omnibus Agreement was invalidated, the transfers themselves were invalid under both a Phillips license to the Debtors and a Rembrandt 3D Holding Ltd. (“Rembrandt”) license, both parties not subject to the settlement or any now invalidated injunctive relief by the Chancery Court.⁵

The Debtors have been subjected to continuous damage by the purported secured creditors, even after the Supreme Court decision. SeeCubic, the purported lenders, and Mr. Shad Stastney of SeeCubic and SLS, were held in contempt by the Delaware Court of Chancery in October 2022 for their orchestrated efforts to seize control of the Debtor’s subsidiary, Technovative Media, Inc.,

² The Debtors contend that SLS Holdings VI, LLC (“SLS”) and Hawk Investment Holdings Limited (“Hawk”) hold secured debt convertible to equity or must pursue their claims in chapter 11 where they will be paid in full, if their claims are allowed.

³ SeeCubic, Inc., a Delaware entity, took its name from a foreign Dutch subsidiary of the Debtors, SeeCubic B.V. (The Netherlands) (“SCBV”) and is likely in violation of trademark laws protecting the Debtors and its foreign subsidiary).

⁴ On August 9, 2022, nearly 8 weeks after the Delaware Supreme Court opinion, the Chancery Court issued a TRO against SeeCubic. Vice Chancellor Laster specifically stated his expectations: “SeeCubic will restore Stream’s assets to Stream in accordance with the Rule 54(b) order. Once this has occurred, SeeCubic may seek to exercise any creditor’s rights it possesses against Stream. SeeCubic must do so based on a status quo where Stream has title to and possession of its assets, not a status quo in which SeeCubic acquired possession based on a decision that the Delaware Supreme Court has held is erroneous.”

⁵ On February 21, 2023, Rembrandt filed suit in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware against parties using the Ultra-D technology without a Rembrandt license. It sued Technovative USA, which was under the direction of the Chancery Court-appointed Receiver, Hawk, and SeeCubic for trade secret violations and injunctive relief to prevent the sale of Stream’s assets *Rembrandt 3D Holding Ltd v Technovative Media, Inc., Hawk Investment Holdings Ltd. and SeeCubic, Inc.*

in the months after the Supreme Court decision. In ¶ 3 of his October 3, 2022 Opinion, Vice Chancellor Laster wrote: “This decision holds that SeeCubic and Hawk engaged in contumacious conduct. Shad L. Stastney was the puppet master who pulled the strings. He controls SeeCubic and Technovative, and he also controls SLS Holdings VI, LLC (“SLS”), Stream’s only secured creditor other than Hawk.” *See* Rajan Declaration, Exhibit AA.

SLS and SeeCubic possess some and claim to have obtained possession or control of other of the Debtor’s assets before the Petition Date. The Debtor’s investigation into the location and condition of its assets is ongoing. The Debtors have listed the assets that they believe they continue to own, unless otherwise expressly indicated in its bankruptcy schedules. The Debtors intend to pursue recovery of assets and to operate their business under the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code in this case.

Additional Notes:

1. Because US GAAP treatment may not apply to the Debtor’s assets located in foreign jurisdictions, the values provided for certain assets may differ from typical accounting standards.
2. Funding advanced by the Debtors to their direct and indirect subsidiaries are reflected in intercompany loans.
3. The Debtors’ goodwill and similar intangible value is not reflected in the Debtors’ bankruptcy schedules.
4. SeeCubic BV (the Netherlands) is a subsidiary of the Debtors, SeeCubic BV (the Netherlands) is unrelated to and is a separate entity from SeeCubic Inc., a company newly formed in Delaware by SLS Holdings, Inc.

Fill in this information to identify the case:

Debtor name Technovative Media, Inc.

United States Bankruptcy Court for the: EASTERN DIST. OF PENNSYLVANIA

Case number 23-10764

(if known)

☐ Check if this is an amended filing

Official Form 206D

Schedule D: Creditors Who Have Claims Secured by Property

12/15

Be as complete and accurate as possible.

1. Do any creditors have claims secured by debtor's property?

- ☒ No. Check this box and submit page 1 of this form to the court with debtor's other schedules. Debtor has nothing else to report on this form.
- ☐ Yes. Fill in all of the information below.

Part 1: List Creditors Who Have Secured Claims

2. List in alphabetical order all creditors who have secured claims. If a creditor has more than one secured claim, list the creditor separately for each claim.

Column A
Amount of claim
Do not deduct the value of collateral.

Column B
Value of collateral that supports this claim

2.1	Creditor's name	Describe debtor's property that is subject to a lien		
	Creditor's mailing address	Describe the lien		
		Is the creditor an insider or related party?		
		<input type="checkbox"/> No		
		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes		
	Creditor's email address, if known	Is anyone else liable on this claim?		
		<input type="checkbox"/> No		
		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Fill out <i>Schedule H: Codebtors</i> (Official Form 206H)		
	Date debt was incurred	As of the petition filing date, the claim is:		
	Last 4 digits of account number	Check all that apply.		
	Do multiple creditors have an interest in the same property?	<input type="checkbox"/> Contingent		
	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Unliquidated		
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Specify each creditor, including this creditor, and its relative priority.	<input type="checkbox"/> Disputed		

3. Total of the dollar amounts from Part 1, Column A, including the amounts from the Additional Page, if any.

\$0.00

GLOBAL NOTES TO SCHEDULES AND STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL AFFAIRS OF DEBTORS, STREAM TV NETWORKS, INC., CASE NO. 23-10763(mdc) AND TECHNOVATIVE MEDIA, INC., CAUSE NO. 10764 (Joint Administration Requested)

The Debtors develop their technology and conduct the majority of their business through their direct and indirect foreign and domestic subsidiaries. The Debtors provided capital to their direct and indirect foreign and domestic subsidiaries for the purpose of acquiring and developing assets and to conduct business operations. The Debtors direct interested parties to the *Declaration of Mathu Rajan in Support of Stream TV Networks, Inc. and Technovative Media, Inc. Chapter 11 Petition, Supporting Emergency Relief, and First Day Motions* [Docket No. 48] (“Rajan Declaration”) in which the Debtors’ organizational and operational structure is described in greater detail.

In its bankruptcy schedules, the Debtors disclose and describe the assets, including their ownership interest in direct subsidiaries and their contracts, that the Debtors owned as of March 15, 2023 (the “Petition Date”). The Debtors’ direct subsidiaries, in turn, hold or may hold ownership interests in the Debtors’ indirect subsidiaries as depicted on the Debtor’s Organization Chart included in the Rajans Declaration. The assets of the Debtors’ direct and indirect subsidiaries are not included in the Debtors’ bankruptcy schedules.

The Debtors intend to complete a recovery of assets which were previously and improperly transferred in contravention of express provisions of its corporate charter through an invalidated board settlement agreement as confirmed by the Delaware Supreme Court through an *en banc* unanimous decision on June 15, 2022. *See Stream TV Networks, Inc. v. Seecubic, Inc.*, No. 360, 2021, p. 3 (Del. June 15, 2022) (Holding that “a majority vote of Class B stockholders is required under Stream’s charter” to “transfer pledged assets to secured creditors in connection with what was, in essence, a privately structured foreclosure transaction”).¹ The Delaware Supreme Court also held that the “agreement authorizing the secured creditors to transfer Stream’s pledged assets . . . is **invalid** because Stream’s unambiguous certificate of incorporation required the approval of Stream’s Class B stockholders.” *Id.* at p. 34. (emphasis added).

¹ A debt resolution committee of the Debtor’s Board of Directors purporting to act on the Debtor’s behalf reached an unauthorized settlement enshrined in an agreement titled as the Omnibus Agreement, dated May 6, 2021, between the Debtor’s senior secured lender, SLS Holdings VI, LLC (“SLS”) and the Debtor’s debt resolution committee, which was which approved by the Delaware Court of Chancery and then held to be invalid by the Delaware Supreme Court on appeal. Prior to the Delaware Supreme Court ruling the SLS Holdings and its newly formed company,

The new company formed by the purported secured lenders,² SeeCubic, Inc. (“SeeCubic”),³ asserted an ownership interest in all of the Debtor’s assets and took possession of, and in some cases, destroyed the Debtors’ assets. The Chancery Court, on remand and with direction from the Delaware Supreme Court to effectuate the dismissal and vacating of its prior injunctive relief, has made it clear that the assets must be returned **both in title and possession to the Debtors.**⁴ Furthermore, the Chancery Court on August 10, 2022, issued an *Order Granting Partial Final Judgment* in favor of the Debtor. The order stated: “Pending transfer of the Assets from SeeCubic to Stream, SeeCubic and all those acting in concert with it shall not use, impair, encumber, or transfer the Assets, except as necessary to maintain the Assets in the ordinary course of business and preserve their value pending transfer to Stream.” Rajan Declaration, Exhibit BB. Despite these clear directives, the purported secured lenders have resisted and continue to resist return of the assets.

The Debtors have also been alerted to SeeCubic’s violation of intellectual property licenses which were held by the Debtors and were non-transferable, actions violating third party rights under applicable Federal and state law which cannot be remedied or cleansed by the invalidated settlement, even prior to its invalidation by the Delaware Supreme Court. Even before the Omnibus Agreement was invalidated, the transfers themselves were invalid under both a Phillips license to the Debtors and a Rembrandt 3D Holding Ltd. (“Rembrandt”) license, both parties not subject to the settlement or any now invalidated injunctive relief by the Chancery Court.⁵

The Debtors have been subjected to continuous damage by the purported secured creditors, even after the Supreme Court decision. SeeCubic, the purported lenders, and Mr. Shad Stastney of SeeCubic and SLS, were held in contempt by the Delaware Court of Chancery in October 2022 for their orchestrated efforts to seize control of the Debtor’s subsidiary, Technovative Media, Inc.,

² The Debtors contend that SLS Holdings VI, LLC (“SLS”) and Hawk Investment Holdings Limited (“Hawk”) hold secured debt convertible to equity or must pursue their claims in chapter 11 where they will be paid in full, if their claims are allowed.

³ SeeCubic, Inc., a Delaware entity, took its name from a foreign Dutch subsidiary of the Debtors, SeeCubic B.V. (The Netherlands) (“SCBV”) and is likely in violation of trademark laws protecting the Debtors and its foreign subsidiary).

⁴ On August 9, 2022, nearly 8 weeks after the Delaware Supreme Court opinion, the Chancery Court issued a TRO against SeeCubic. Vice Chancellor Laster specifically stated his expectations: “SeeCubic will restore Stream’s assets to Stream in accordance with the Rule 54(b) order. Once this has occurred, SeeCubic may seek to exercise any creditor’s rights it possesses against Stream. SeeCubic must do so based on a status quo where Stream has title to and possession of its assets, not a status quo in which SeeCubic acquired possession based on a decision that the Delaware Supreme Court has held is erroneous.”

⁵ On February 21, 2023, Rembrandt filed suit in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware against parties using the Ultra-D technology without a Rembrandt license. It sued Technovative USA, which was under the direction of the Chancery Court-appointed Receiver, Hawk, and SeeCubic for trade secret violations and injunctive relief to prevent the sale of Stream’s assets *Rembrandt 3D Holding Ltd v Technovative Media, Inc., Hawk Investment Holdings Ltd. and SeeCubic, Inc.*

in the months after the Supreme Court decision. In ¶ 3 of his October 3, 2022 Opinion, Vice Chancellor Laster wrote: “This decision holds that SeeCubic and Hawk engaged in contumacious conduct. Shad L. Stastney was the puppet master who pulled the strings. He controls SeeCubic and Technovative, and he also controls SLS Holdings VI, LLC (“SLS”), Stream’s only secured creditor other than Hawk.” *See* Rajan Declaration, Exhibit AA.

SLS and SeeCubic possess some and claim to have obtained possession or control of other of the Debtor’s assets before the Petition Date. The Debtor’s investigation into the location and condition of its assets is ongoing. The Debtors have listed the assets that they believe they continue to own, unless otherwise expressly indicated in its bankruptcy schedules. The Debtors intend to pursue recovery of assets and to operate their business under the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code in this case.

Additional Notes:

1. Because US GAAP treatment may not apply to the Debtor’s assets located in foreign jurisdictions, the values provided for certain assets may differ from typical accounting standards.
2. Funding advanced by the Debtors to their direct and indirect subsidiaries are reflected in intercompany loans.
3. The Debtors’ goodwill and similar intangible value is not reflected in the Debtors’ bankruptcy schedules.
4. SeeCubic BV (the Netherlands) is a subsidiary of the Debtors, SeeCubic BV (the Netherlands) is unrelated to and is a separate entity from SeeCubic Inc., a company newly formed in Delaware by SLS Holdings, Inc.

Fill in this information to identify the case:

Debtor name Technovative Media, Inc.

United States Bankruptcy Court for the: EASTERN DIST. OF PENNSYLVANIA

Case number 23-10764 Chapter 11
(if known)

☐ Check if this is an amended filing

Official Form 206G

Schedule G: Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases

12/15

Be as complete and accurate as possible. If more space is needed, copy and attach the additional page, numbering the entries consecutively.

1. Does the debtor have any executory contracts or unexpired leases?

- ☐ No. Check this box and file this form with the court with the debtor's other schedules. There is nothing else to report on this form.
- ☒ Yes. Fill in all of the information below even if the contracts or leases are listed on *Schedule A/B: Assets - Real and Personal Property* (Official Form 206A/B).

2. List all contracts and unexpired leases

State the name and mailing address for all other parties with whom the debtor has an executory contract or unexpired lease

2.1 State what the contract or lease is for and the nature of the debtor's interest

Bankruptcy Filing Service.
The Debtor reserves the right to assert that a contract or lease is not executory.

BMC Group, Inc.
600 First Avenue

Seattle WA 98104

State the term remaining

List the contract number of any government contract

Contract to be ASSUMED
Continues until terminated

2.2 State what the contract or lease is for and the nature of the debtor's interest

Legal Services.
The Debtor reserves the right to assert that a contract or lease is not executory.

Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith, LLP
500 Delaware Avenue, Suite 700

Wilmington DE 19801

State the term remaining

List the contract number of any government contract

Contract to be ASSUMED
Continues until terminated

GLOBAL NOTES TO SCHEDULES AND STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL AFFAIRS OF DEBTORS, STREAM TV NETWORKS, INC., CASE NO. 23-10763(mdc) AND TECHNOVATIVE MEDIA, INC., CAUSE NO. 10764 (Joint Administration Requested)

The Debtors develop their technology and conduct the majority of their business through their direct and indirect foreign and domestic subsidiaries. The Debtors provided capital to their direct and indirect foreign and domestic subsidiaries for the purpose of acquiring and developing assets and to conduct business operations. The Debtors direct interested parties to the *Declaration of Mathu Rajan in Support of Stream TV Networks, Inc. and Technovative Media, Inc. Chapter 11 Petition, Supporting Emergency Relief, and First Day Motions* [Docket No. 48] (“Rajan Declaration”) in which the Debtors’ organizational and operational structure is described in greater detail.

In its bankruptcy schedules, the Debtors disclose and describe the assets, including their ownership interest in direct subsidiaries and their contracts, that the Debtors owned as of March 15, 2023 (the “Petition Date”). The Debtors’ direct subsidiaries, in turn, hold or may hold ownership interests in the Debtors’ indirect subsidiaries as depicted on the Debtor’s Organization Chart included in the Rajans Declaration. The assets of the Debtors’ direct and indirect subsidiaries are not included in the Debtors’ bankruptcy schedules.

The Debtors intend to complete a recovery of assets which were previously and improperly transferred in contravention of express provisions of its corporate charter through an invalidated board settlement agreement as confirmed by the Delaware Supreme Court through an *en banc* unanimous decision on June 15, 2022. *See Stream TV Networks, Inc. v. Seecubic, Inc.*, No. 360, 2021, p. 3 (Del. June 15, 2022) (Holding that “a majority vote of Class B stockholders is required under Stream’s charter” to “transfer pledged assets to secured creditors in connection with what was, in essence, a privately structured foreclosure transaction”).¹ The Delaware Supreme Court also held that the “agreement authorizing the secured creditors to transfer Stream’s pledged assets . . . is **invalid** because Stream’s unambiguous certificate of incorporation required the approval of Stream’s Class B stockholders.” *Id.* at p. 34. (emphasis added).

¹ A debt resolution committee of the Debtor’s Board of Directors purporting to act on the Debtor’s behalf reached an unauthorized settlement enshrined in an agreement titled as the Omnibus Agreement, dated May 6, 2021, between the Debtor’s senior secured lender, SLS Holdings VI, LLC (“SLS”) and the Debtor’s debt resolution committee, which was which approved by the Delaware Court of Chancery and then held to be invalid by the Delaware Supreme Court on appeal. Prior to the Delaware Supreme Court ruling the SLS Holdings and its newly formed company,

The new company formed by the purported secured lenders,² SeeCubic, Inc. (“SeeCubic”),³ asserted an ownership interest in all of the Debtor’s assets and took possession of, and in some cases, destroyed the Debtors’ assets. The Chancery Court, on remand and with direction from the Delaware Supreme Court to effectuate the dismissal and vacating of its prior injunctive relief, has made it clear that the assets must be returned **both in title and possession to the Debtors.**⁴ Furthermore, the Chancery Court on August 10, 2022, issued an *Order Granting Partial Final Judgment* in favor of the Debtor. The order stated: “Pending transfer of the Assets from SeeCubic to Stream, SeeCubic and all those acting in concert with it shall not use, impair, encumber, or transfer the Assets, except as necessary to maintain the Assets in the ordinary course of business and preserve their value pending transfer to Stream.” Rajan Declaration, Exhibit BB. Despite these clear directives, the purported secured lenders have resisted and continue to resist return of the assets.

The Debtors have also been alerted to SeeCubic’s violation of intellectual property licenses which were held by the Debtors and were non-transferable, actions violating third party rights under applicable Federal and state law which cannot be remedied or cleansed by the invalidated settlement, even prior to its invalidation by the Delaware Supreme Court. Even before the Omnibus Agreement was invalidated, the transfers themselves were invalid under both a Phillips license to the Debtors and a Rembrandt 3D Holding Ltd. (“Rembrandt”) license, both parties not subject to the settlement or any now invalidated injunctive relief by the Chancery Court.⁵

The Debtors have been subjected to continuous damage by the purported secured creditors, even after the Supreme Court decision. SeeCubic, the purported lenders, and Mr. Shad Stastney of SeeCubic and SLS, were held in contempt by the Delaware Court of Chancery in October 2022 for their orchestrated efforts to seize control of the Debtor’s subsidiary, TechnoVative Media, Inc.,

² The Debtors contend that SLS Holdings VI, LLC (“SLS”) and Hawk Investment Holdings Limited (“Hawk”) hold secured debt convertible to equity or must pursue their claims in chapter 11 where they will be paid in full, if their claims are allowed.

³ SeeCubic, Inc., a Delaware entity, took its name from a foreign Dutch subsidiary of the Debtors, SeeCubic B.V. (The Netherlands) (“SCBV”) and is likely in violation of trademark laws protecting the Debtors and its foreign subsidiary).

⁴ On August 9, 2022, nearly 8 weeks after the Delaware Supreme Court opinion, the Chancery Court issued a TRO against SeeCubic. Vice Chancellor Laster specifically stated his expectations: “SeeCubic will restore Stream’s assets to Stream in accordance with the Rule 54(b) order. Once this has occurred, SeeCubic may seek to exercise any creditor’s rights it possesses against Stream. SeeCubic must do so based on a status quo where Stream has title to and possession of its assets, not a status quo in which SeeCubic acquired possession based on a decision that the Delaware Supreme Court has held is erroneous.”

⁵ On February 21, 2023, Rembrandt filed suit in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware against parties using the Ultra-D technology without a Rembrandt license. It sued TechnoVative USA, which was under the direction of the Chancery Court-appointed Receiver, Hawk, and SeeCubic for trade secret violations and injunctive relief to prevent the sale of Stream’s assets *Rembrandt 3D Holding Ltd v Technovative Media, Inc., Hawk Investment Holdings Ltd. and SeeCubic, Inc.*

in the months after the Supreme Court decision. In ¶ 3 of his October 3, 2022 Opinion, Vice Chancellor Laster wrote: “This decision holds that SeeCubic and Hawk engaged in contumacious conduct. Shad L. Stastney was the puppet master who pulled the strings. He controls SeeCubic and Technovative, and he also controls SLS Holdings VI, LLC (“SLS”), Stream’s only secured creditor other than Hawk.” *See* Rajan Declaration, Exhibit AA.

SLS and SeeCubic possess some and claim to have obtained possession or control of other of the Debtor’s assets before the Petition Date. The Debtor’s investigation into the location and condition of its assets is ongoing. The Debtors have listed the assets that they believe they continue to own, unless otherwise expressly indicated in its bankruptcy schedules. The Debtors intend to pursue recovery of assets and to operate their business under the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code in this case.

Additional Notes:

1. Because US GAAP treatment may not apply to the Debtor’s assets located in foreign jurisdictions, the values provided for certain assets may differ from typical accounting standards.
2. Funding advanced by the Debtors to their direct and indirect subsidiaries are reflected in intercompany loans.
3. The Debtors’ goodwill and similar intangible value is not reflected in the Debtors’ bankruptcy schedules.
4. SeeCubic BV (the Netherlands) is a subsidiary of the Debtors, SeeCubic BV (the Netherlands) is unrelated to and is a separate entity from SeeCubic Inc., a company newly formed in Delaware by SLS Holdings, Inc.

Fill in this information to identify the case:

Debtor Technovative Media, Inc.

United States Bankruptcy Court for the: EASTERN DIST. OF PENNSYLVANIA

Case number 23-10764

(if known)

☐ Check if this is an amended filing

Official Form 206E/F

Schedule E/F: Creditors Who Have Unsecured Claims

12/15

Be as complete and accurate as possible. Use Part 1 for creditors with PRIORITY unsecured claims and Part 2 for creditors with NONPRIORITY unsecured claims. List the other party to any executory contracts or unexpired leases that could result in a claim. Also list executory contracts on *Schedule A/B: Assets - Real and Personal Property* (Official Form 206A/B) and on *Schedule G: Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases* (Official Form 206G). Number the entries in Parts 1 and 2 in the boxes on the left. If more space is needed for Part 1 or Part 2, fill out and attach the Additional Page of that Part included in this form.

Part 1: List All Creditors with PRIORITY Unsecured Claims

1. Do any creditors have priority unsecured claims? (See 11 U.S.C. § 507).

- ☒ No. Go to Part 2.
- ☐ Yes. Go to line 2.

2. List in alphabetical order all creditors who have unsecured claims that are entitled to priority in whole or part.

If more space is needed for priority unsecured claims, fill out and attach the Additional Page of Part 1.

Total claim Priority amount

2.1 Priority creditor's name and mailing address

As of the petition filing date, the claim is: Check all that apply.

- ☐ Contingent
- ☐ Unliquidated
- ☐ Disputed

Basis for the claim:

Date or dates debt was incurred

Is the claim subject to offset?

- ☐ No
- ☐ Yes

Last 4 digits of account number

Specify Code subsection of PRIORITY unsecured claim: 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)()

Debtor Technovative Media, Inc. Case number (if known) 23-10764

Part 2: List All Creditors with NONPRIORITY Unsecured Claims

3. List in alphabetical order all of the creditors with nonpriority unsecured claims. If more space is needed for nonpriority unsecured claims, fill out and attach the Additional Page of Part 2.

Amount of claim

3.1	Nonpriority creditor's name and mailing address	As of the petition filing date, the claim is:	\$100,000,000.00
	Rembrandt 3d Holding Ltd.	Check all that apply.	
	128 Bull Hill Road	<input type="checkbox"/> Contingent	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Unliquidated	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Disputed	
		Basis for the claim:	
	Newfield NY 14867	goods, services	
	Date or dates debt was incurred	Is the claim subject to offset?	
	Last 4 digits of account number	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	

Debtor Technovative Media, Inc. Case number (if known) 23-10764

Part 4: Total Amounts of the Priority and Nonpriority Unsecured Claims

5. Add the amounts of priority and nonpriority unsecured claims.

Total of claim amounts

5a. Total claims from Part 1 5a. \$0.00

5b. Total claims from Part 2 5b. + \$100,000,000.00

5c. Total of Parts 1 and 2 5c. \$100,000,000.00
Lines 5a + 5b = 5c.

GLOBAL NOTES TO SCHEDULES AND STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL AFFAIRS OF DEBTORS, STREAM TV NETWORKS, INC., CASE NO. 23-10763(mdc) AND TECHNOVATIVE MEDIA, INC., CAUSE NO. 10764 (Joint Administration Requested)

The Debtors develop their technology and conduct the majority of their business through their direct and indirect foreign and domestic subsidiaries. The Debtors provided capital to their direct and indirect foreign and domestic subsidiaries for the purpose of acquiring and developing assets and to conduct business operations. The Debtors direct interested parties to the *Declaration of Mathu Rajan in Support of Stream TV Networks, Inc. and Technovative Media, Inc. Chapter 11 Petition, Supporting Emergency Relief, and First Day Motions* [Docket No. 48] (“Rajan Declaration”) in which the Debtors’ organizational and operational structure is described in greater detail.

In its bankruptcy schedules, the Debtors disclose and describe the assets, including their ownership interest in direct subsidiaries and their contracts, that the Debtors owned as of March 15, 2023 (the “Petition Date”). The Debtors’ direct subsidiaries, in turn, hold or may hold ownership interests in the Debtors’ indirect subsidiaries as depicted on the Debtor’s Organization Chart included in the Rajans Declaration. The assets of the Debtors’ direct and indirect subsidiaries are not included in the Debtors’ bankruptcy schedules.

The Debtors intend to complete a recovery of assets which were previously and improperly transferred in contravention of express provisions of its corporate charter through an invalidated board settlement agreement as confirmed by the Delaware Supreme Court through an *en banc* unanimous decision on June 15, 2022. *See Stream TV Networks, Inc. v. Seecubic, Inc.*, No. 360, 2021, p. 3 (Del. June 15, 2022) (Holding that “a majority vote of Class B stockholders is required under Stream’s charter” to “transfer pledged assets to secured creditors in connection with what was, in essence, a privately structured foreclosure transaction”).¹ The Delaware Supreme Court also held that the “agreement authorizing the secured creditors to transfer Stream’s pledged assets . . . is **invalid** because Stream’s unambiguous certificate of incorporation required the approval of Stream’s Class B stockholders.” *Id.* at p. 34. (emphasis added).

¹ A debt resolution committee of the Debtor’s Board of Directors purporting to act on the Debtor’s behalf reached an unauthorized settlement enshrined in an agreement titled as the Omnibus Agreement, dated May 6, 2021, between the Debtor’s senior secured lender, SLS Holdings VI, LLC (“SLS”) and the Debtor’s debt resolution committee, which was which approved by the Delaware Court of Chancery and then held to be invalid by the Delaware Supreme Court on appeal. Prior to the Delaware Supreme Court ruling the SLS Holdings and its newly formed company,

The new company formed by the purported secured lenders,² SeeCubic, Inc. (“SeeCubic”),³ asserted an ownership interest in all of the Debtor’s assets and took possession of, and in some cases, destroyed the Debtors’ assets. The Chancery Court, on remand and with direction from the Delaware Supreme Court to effectuate the dismissal and vacating of its prior injunctive relief, has made it clear that the assets must be returned **both in title and possession to the Debtors.**⁴ Furthermore, the Chancery Court on August 10, 2022, issued an *Order Granting Partial Final Judgment* in favor of the Debtor. The order stated: “Pending transfer of the Assets from SeeCubic to Stream, SeeCubic and all those acting in concert with it shall not use, impair, encumber, or transfer the Assets, except as necessary to maintain the Assets in the ordinary course of business and preserve their value pending transfer to Stream.” Rajan Declaration, Exhibit BB. Despite these clear directives, the purported secured lenders have resisted and continue to resist return of the assets.

The Debtors have also been alerted to SeeCubic’s violation of intellectual property licenses which were held by the Debtors and were non-transferable, actions violating third party rights under applicable Federal and state law which cannot be remedied or cleansed by the invalidated settlement, even prior to its invalidation by the Delaware Supreme Court. Even before the Omnibus Agreement was invalidated, the transfers themselves were invalid under both a Phillips license to the Debtors and a Rembrandt 3D Holding Ltd. (“Rembrandt”) license, both parties not subject to the settlement or any now invalidated injunctive relief by the Chancery Court.⁵

The Debtors have been subjected to continuous damage by the purported secured creditors, even after the Supreme Court decision. SeeCubic, the purported lenders, and Mr. Shad Stastney of SeeCubic and SLS, were held in contempt by the Delaware Court of Chancery in October 2022 for their orchestrated efforts to seize control of the Debtor’s subsidiary, Technovative Media, Inc.,

² The Debtors contend that SLS Holdings VI, LLC (“SLS”) and Hawk Investment Holdings Limited (“Hawk”) hold secured debt convertible to equity or must pursue their claims in chapter 11 where they will be paid in full, if their claims are allowed.

³ SeeCubic, Inc., a Delaware entity, took its name from a foreign Dutch subsidiary of the Debtors, SeeCubic B.V. (The Netherlands) (“SCBV”) and is likely in violation of trademark laws protecting the Debtors and its foreign subsidiary).

⁴ On August 9, 2022, nearly 8 weeks after the Delaware Supreme Court opinion, the Chancery Court issued a TRO against SeeCubic. Vice Chancellor Laster specifically stated his expectations: “SeeCubic will restore Stream’s assets to Stream in accordance with the Rule 54(b) order. Once this has occurred, SeeCubic may seek to exercise any creditor’s rights it possesses against Stream. SeeCubic must do so based on a status quo where Stream has title to and possession of its assets, not a status quo in which SeeCubic acquired possession based on a decision that the Delaware Supreme Court has held is erroneous.”

⁵ On February 21, 2023, Rembrandt filed suit in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware against parties using the Ultra-D technology without a Rembrandt license. It sued Technovative USA, which was under the direction of the Chancery Court-appointed Receiver, Hawk, and SeeCubic for trade secret violations and injunctive relief to prevent the sale of Stream’s assets *Rembrandt 3D Holding Ltd v Technovative Media, Inc., Hawk Investment Holdings Ltd. and SeeCubic, Inc.*

in the months after the Supreme Court decision. In ¶ 3 of his October 3, 2022 Opinion, Vice Chancellor Laster wrote: “This decision holds that SeeCubic and Hawk engaged in contumacious conduct. Shad L. Stastney was the puppet master who pulled the strings. He controls SeeCubic and Technovative, and he also controls SLS Holdings VI, LLC (“SLS”), Stream’s only secured creditor other than Hawk.” *See* Rajan Declaration, Exhibit AA.

SLS and SeeCubic possess some and claim to have obtained possession or control of other of the Debtor’s assets before the Petition Date. The Debtor’s investigation into the location and condition of its assets is ongoing. The Debtors have listed the assets that they believe they continue to own, unless otherwise expressly indicated in its bankruptcy schedules. The Debtors intend to pursue recovery of assets and to operate their business under the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code in this case.

Additional Notes:

1. Because US GAAP treatment may not apply to the Debtor’s assets located in foreign jurisdictions, the values provided for certain assets may differ from typical accounting standards.
2. Funding advanced by the Debtors to their direct and indirect subsidiaries are reflected in intercompany loans.
3. The Debtors’ goodwill and similar intangible value is not reflected in the Debtors’ bankruptcy schedules.
4. SeeCubic BV (the Netherlands) is a subsidiary of the Debtors, SeeCubic BV (the Netherlands) is unrelated to and is a separate entity from SeeCubic Inc., a company newly formed in Delaware by SLS Holdings, Inc.

Fill in this information to identify the case:

Debtor name Technovative Media, Inc.

United States Bankruptcy Court for the: EASTERN DIST. OF PENNSYLVANIA

Case number 23-10764

(if known)

☐ Check if this is an amended filing

Official Form 206H

Schedule H: Codebtors

12/15

Be as complete and accurate as possible. If more space is needed, copy the Additional Page, numbering the entries consecutively. Attach the Additional Page to this page.

1. Does the debtor have any codebtors?

- ☒ No. Check this box and submit this form to the court with the debtor's other schedules. Nothing else needs to be reported on this form.
- ☐ Yes

2. In Column 1, list as codebtors all of the people or entities who are also liable for any debts listed by the debtor in the schedules of creditors, *Schedules D-G*. Include all guarantors and co-obligors. In Column 2, identify the creditor to whom the debt is owed and each schedule on which the creditor is listed. If the codebtor is liable on a debt to more than one creditor, list each creditor separately in Column 2.

Column 1: Codebtor

Column 2: Creditor

Name

Mailing address

Name

Check all schedules that apply:

Fill in this information to identify the case:

Debtor name **Technovative Media, Inc.**United States Bankruptcy Court for the: **EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**Case number (if known) **23-10764-AMC**☒ Check if this is an amended filing

Official Form 206E/F

Schedule E/F: Creditors Who Have Unsecured Claims

12/15

Be as complete and accurate as possible. Use Part 1 for creditors with PRIORITY unsecured claims and Part 2 for creditors with NONPRIORITY unsecured claims. List the other party to any executory contracts or unexpired leases that could result in a claim. Also list executory contracts on *Schedule A/B: Assets - Real and Personal Property* (Official Form 206A/B) and on *Schedule G: Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases* (Official Form 206G). Number the entries in Parts 1 and 2 in the boxes on the left. If more space is needed for Part 1 or Part 2, fill out and attach the Additional Page of that Part included in this form.

Part 1: List All Creditors with PRIORITY Unsecured Claims

1. Do any creditors have priority unsecured claims? (See 11 U.S.C. § 507).

☐ No. Go to Part 2.☐ Yes. Go to line 2.**Part 2: List All Creditors with NONPRIORITY Unsecured Claims**

3. List in alphabetical order all of the creditors with nonpriority unsecured claims. If the debtor has more than 6 creditors with nonpriority unsecured claims, fill out and attach the Additional Page of Part 2.

3.1 Nonpriority creditor's name and mailing address

**Rembrandt 3d Holding Ltd.
128 Bull Hill Road
Newfield, NY 14867**

Date(s) debt was incurred _

Last 4 digits of account number _

As of the petition filing date, the claim is: Check all that apply.

☐ Contingent☐ Unliquidated☐ DisputedBasis for the claim: **Unknown**Is the claim subject to offset? ☐ No ☐ Yes

Amount of claim

\$0.00**Part 3: List Others to Be Notified About Unsecured Claims**

4. List in alphabetical order any others who must be notified for claims listed in Parts 1 and 2. Examples of entities that may be listed are collection agencies, assignees of claims listed above, and attorneys for unsecured creditors.

If no others need to be notified for the debts listed in Parts 1 and 2, do not fill out or submit this page. If additional pages are needed, copy the next page.

Name and mailing address

On which line in Part 1 or Part 2 is the related creditor (if any) listed?

Last 4 digits of account number, if any

Part 4: Total Amounts of the Priority and Nonpriority Unsecured Claims

5. Add the amounts of priority and nonpriority unsecured claims.

5a. Total claims from Part 1

5b. Total claims from Part 2

5c. Total of Parts 1 and 2

Lines 5a + 5b = 5c.

Total of claim amounts

5a. \$ **0.00**5b. + \$ **0.00**5c. \$ **0.00**

Fill in this information to identify the case:

Debtor name **Technovative Media, Inc.**

United States Bankruptcy Court for the: **EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

Case number (if known) **23-10764-AMC**

☐ Check if this is an
amended filing

Official Form 202

Declaration Under Penalty of Perjury for Non-Individual Debtors

12/15

An individual who is authorized to act on behalf of a non-individual debtor, such as a corporation or partnership, must sign and submit this form for the schedules of assets and liabilities, any other document that requires a declaration that is not included in the document, and any amendments of those documents. This form must state the individual's position or relationship to the debtor, the identity of the document, and the date. Bankruptcy Rules 1008 and 9011.

WARNING -- Bankruptcy fraud is a serious crime. Making a false statement, concealing property, or obtaining money or property by fraud in connection with a bankruptcy case can result in fines up to \$500,000 or imprisonment for up to 20 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 1341, 1519, and 3571.

Declaration and signature

I am the president, another officer, or an authorized agent of the corporation; a member or an authorized agent of the partnership; or another individual serving as a representative of the debtor in this case.

I have examined the information in the documents checked below and I have a reasonable belief that the information is true and correct:

- ☐ *Schedule A/B: Assets—Real and Personal Property* (Official Form 206A/B)
- ☐ *Schedule D: Creditors Who Have Claims Secured by Property* (Official Form 206D)
- ☐ *Schedule E/F: Creditors Who Have Unsecured Claims* (Official Form 206E/F)
- ☐ *Schedule G: Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases* (Official Form 206G)
- ☐ *Schedule H: Codebtors* (Official Form 206H)
- ☐ *Summary of Assets and Liabilities for Non-Individuals* (Official Form 206Sum)
- ☒ **Amended Schedule E/F: Creditors Who Have Unsecured Claims**
- ☐ *Chapter 11 or Chapter 9 Cases: List of Creditors Who Have the 20 Largest Unsecured Claims and Are Not Insiders* (Official Form 204)
- ☐ Other document that requires a declaration _____

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on _____

X

Signature of individual signing on behalf of debtor

Printed name

Position or relationship to debtor

GLOBAL NOTES TO SCHEDULES AND STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL AFFAIRS OF DEBTORS, STREAM TV NETWORKS, INC., CASE NO. 23-10763(mdc) AND TECHNOVATIVE MEDIA, INC., CAUSE NO. 10764 (Joint Administration Requested)

The Debtors develop their technology and conduct the majority of their business through their direct and indirect foreign and domestic subsidiaries. The Debtors provided capital to their direct and indirect foreign and domestic subsidiaries for the purpose of acquiring and developing assets and to conduct business operations. The Debtors direct interested parties to the *Declaration of Mathu Rajan in Support of Stream TV Networks, Inc. and Technovative Media, Inc. Chapter 11 Petition, Supporting Emergency Relief, and First Day Motions* [Docket No. 48] (“Rajan Declaration”) in which the Debtors’ organizational and operational structure is described in greater detail.

In its bankruptcy schedules, the Debtors disclose and describe the assets, including their ownership interest in direct subsidiaries and their contracts, that the Debtors owned as of March 15, 2023 (the “Petition Date”). The Debtors’ direct subsidiaries, in turn, hold or may hold ownership interests in the Debtors’ indirect subsidiaries as depicted on the Debtor’s Organization Chart included in the Rajans Declaration. The assets of the Debtors’ direct and indirect subsidiaries are not included in the Debtors’ bankruptcy schedules.

The Debtors intend to complete a recovery of assets which were previously and improperly transferred in contravention of express provisions of its corporate charter through an invalidated board settlement agreement as confirmed by the Delaware Supreme Court through an *en banc* unanimous decision on June 15, 2022. *See Stream TV Networks, Inc. v. Seecubic, Inc.*, No. 360, 2021, p. 3 (Del. June 15, 2022) (Holding that “a majority vote of Class B stockholders is required under Stream’s charter” to “transfer pledged assets to secured creditors in connection with what was, in essence, a privately structured foreclosure transaction”).¹ The Delaware Supreme Court also held that the “agreement authorizing the secured creditors to transfer Stream’s pledged assets . . . is **invalid** because Stream’s unambiguous certificate of incorporation required the approval of Stream’s Class B stockholders.” *Id.* at p. 34. (emphasis added).

¹ A debt resolution committee of the Debtor’s Board of Directors purporting to act on the Debtor’s behalf reached an unauthorized settlement enshrined in an agreement titled as the Omnibus Agreement, dated May 6, 2021, between the Debtor’s senior secured lender, SLS Holdings VI, LLC (“SLS”) and the Debtor’s debt resolution committee, which was which approved by the Delaware Court of Chancery and then held to be invalid by the Delaware Supreme Court on appeal. Prior to the Delaware Supreme Court ruling the SLS Holdings and its newly formed company,

The new company formed by the purported secured lenders,² SeeCubic, Inc. (“SeeCubic”),³ asserted an ownership interest in all of the Debtor’s assets and took possession of, and in some cases, destroyed the Debtors’ assets. The Chancery Court, on remand and with direction from the Delaware Supreme Court to effectuate the dismissal and vacating of its prior injunctive relief, has made it clear that the assets must be returned **both in title and possession to the Debtors.**⁴ Furthermore, the Chancery Court on August 10, 2022, issued an *Order Granting Partial Final Judgment* in favor of the Debtor. The order stated: “Pending transfer of the Assets from SeeCubic to Stream, SeeCubic and all those acting in concert with it shall not use, impair, encumber, or transfer the Assets, except as necessary to maintain the Assets in the ordinary course of business and preserve their value pending transfer to Stream.” Rajan Declaration, Exhibit BB. Despite these clear directives, the purported secured lenders have resisted and continue to resist return of the assets.

The Debtors have also been alerted to SeeCubic’s violation of intellectual property licenses which were held by the Debtors and were non-transferable, actions violating third party rights under applicable Federal and state law which cannot be remedied or cleansed by the invalidated settlement, even prior to its invalidation by the Delaware Supreme Court. Even before the Omnibus Agreement was invalidated, the transfers themselves were invalid under both a Phillips license to the Debtors and a Rembrandt 3D Holding Ltd. (“Rembrandt”) license, both parties not subject to the settlement or any now invalidated injunctive relief by the Chancery Court.⁵

The Debtors have been subjected to continuous damage by the purported secured creditors, even after the Supreme Court decision. SeeCubic, the purported lenders, and Mr. Shad Stastney of SeeCubic and SLS, were held in contempt by the Delaware Court of Chancery in October 2022 for their orchestrated efforts to seize control of the Debtor’s subsidiary, Technovative Media, Inc.,

² The Debtors contend that SLS Holdings VI, LLC (“SLS”) and Hawk Investment Holdings Limited (“Hawk”) hold secured debt convertible to equity or must pursue their claims in chapter 11 where they will be paid in full, if their claims are allowed.

³ SeeCubic, Inc., a Delaware entity, took its name from a foreign Dutch subsidiary of the Debtors, SeeCubic B.V. (The Netherlands) (“SCBV”) and is likely in violation of trademark laws protecting the Debtors and its foreign subsidiary).

⁴ On August 9, 2022, nearly 8 weeks after the Delaware Supreme Court opinion, the Chancery Court issued a TRO against SeeCubic. Vice Chancellor Laster specifically stated his expectations: “SeeCubic will restore Stream’s assets to Stream in accordance with the Rule 54(b) order. Once this has occurred, SeeCubic may seek to exercise any creditor’s rights it possesses against Stream. SeeCubic must do so based on a status quo where Stream has title to and possession of its assets, not a status quo in which SeeCubic acquired possession based on a decision that the Delaware Supreme Court has held is erroneous.”

⁵ On February 21, 2023, Rembrandt filed suit in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware against parties using the Ultra-D technology without a Rembrandt license. It sued Technovative USA, which was under the direction of the Chancery Court-appointed Receiver, Hawk, and SeeCubic for trade secret violations and injunctive relief to prevent the sale of Stream’s assets *Rembrandt 3D Holding Ltd v Technovative Media, Inc., Hawk Investment Holdings Ltd. and SeeCubic, Inc.*

in the months after the Supreme Court decision. In ¶ 3 of his October 3, 2022 Opinion, Vice Chancellor Laster wrote: “This decision holds that SeeCubic and Hawk engaged in contumacious conduct. Shad L. Stastney was the puppet master who pulled the strings. He controls SeeCubic and Technovative, and he also controls SLS Holdings VI, LLC (“SLS”), Stream’s only secured creditor other than Hawk.” *See* Rajan Declaration, Exhibit AA.

SLS and SeeCubic possess some and claim to have obtained possession or control of other of the Debtor’s assets before the Petition Date. The Debtor’s investigation into the location and condition of its assets is ongoing. The Debtors have listed the assets that they believe they continue to own, unless otherwise expressly indicated in its bankruptcy schedules. The Debtors intend to pursue recovery of assets and to operate their business under the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code in this case.

Additional Notes:

1. Because US GAAP treatment may not apply to the Debtor’s assets located in foreign jurisdictions, the values provided for certain assets may differ from typical accounting standards.
2. Funding advanced by the Debtors to their direct and indirect subsidiaries are reflected in intercompany loans.
3. The Debtors’ goodwill and similar intangible value is not reflected in the Debtors’ bankruptcy schedules.
4. SeeCubic BV (the Netherlands) is a subsidiary of the Debtors, SeeCubic BV (the Netherlands) is unrelated to and is a separate entity from SeeCubic Inc., a company newly formed in Delaware by SLS Holdings, Inc.

Fill in this information to identify the case

Debtor name **Technovative Media, Inc.**

United States Bankruptcy Court for the: **EASTERN DIST. OF PENNSYLVANIA**

Case number **23-10764**
(if known)

☐ Check if this is an amended filing

Official Form 206A/B

Schedule A/B: Assets -- Real and Personal Property

12/15

Disclose all property, real and personal, which the debtor owns or in which the debtor has any other legal, equitable, or future interest. Include all property in which the debtor holds rights and powers exercisable for the debtor's own benefit. Also include assets and properties which have no book value, such as fully depreciated assets or assets that were not capitalized. In Schedule A/B, list any executory contracts or unexpired leases. Also list them on *Schedule G: Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases* (Official Form 206G).

Be as complete and accurate as possible. If more space is needed, attach a separate sheet to this form. At the top of any pages added, write the debtor's name and case number (if known). Also identify the form and line number to which the additional information applies. If an additional sheet is attached, include the amounts from the attachment in the total for the pertinent part.

For Part 1 through Part 11, list each asset under the appropriate category or attach separate supporting schedules, such as a fixed asset schedule or depreciation schedule, that gives the details for each asset in a particular category. List each asset only once. In valuing the debtor's interest, do not deduct the value of secured claims. See the instructions to understand the terms used in this form.

Part 1: Cash and cash equivalents

1. Does the debtor have any cash or cash equivalents?

- ☒ No. Go to Part 2.
☐ Yes. Fill in the information below.

All cash or cash equivalents owned or controlled by the debtor

Current value of debtor's interest

2. Cash on hand

3. Checking, savings, money market, or financial brokerage accounts *(Identify all)*

Name of institution (bank or brokerage firm)

Type of account

Last 4 digits of account number

4. Other cash equivalents *(Identify all)*

Name of institution (bank or brokerage firm)

5. Total of Part 1

Add lines 2 through 4 (including amounts on any additional sheets). Copy the total to line 80.

\$0.00

Part 2: Deposits and prepayments

6. Does the debtor have any deposits or prepayments?

- ☒ No. Go to Part 3.
☐ Yes. Fill in the information below.

Description, including name of holder of deposit

Description, including name of holder of prepayment

Add lines 7 through 8. Copy the total to line 81.

\$0.00

10. Does the debtor have any accounts receivable?

- ## 11. Accounts receivable

11b. Over 90 days old: _____ - _____ = ➔ _____
face amount doubtful or uncollectible accounts

Current value on lines 11a + 11b = line 12. Copy the total to line 82.

\$0.00

13. Does the debtor own any investments?

- Current value of debtor's interest**

Name of fund or stock:

Name of entity: _____ % of ownership: _____

15.2.	Ultra D Ventures C.V. ownership	99.9%	cost	\$1,000.00
-------	--	--------------	-------------	-------------------

Describe:

Add lines 14 through 16. Copy the total to line 83.

\$2,000.00

18. Does the debtor own any inventory (excluding agriculture assets)?

- page 2

Debtor Technovative Media, Inc. Case number (if known) 23-10764
Name

General description	Date of the last physical inventory MM/DD/YYYY	Net book value of debtor's interest (Where available)	Valuation method used for current value	Current value of debtor's interest
19. Raw materials				
20. Work in progress				
21. Finished goods, including goods held for resale				
22. Other inventory or supplies				
23. Total of Part 5 Add lines 19 through 22. Copy the total to line 84.				\$0.00
24. Is any of the property listed in Part 5 perishable? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes				
25. Has any of the property listed in Part 5 been purchased within 20 days before the bankruptcy was filed? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Book value _____ Valuation method _____ Current value _____				
26. Has any of the property listed in Part 5 been appraised by a professional within the last year? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes				

Part 6: Farming and fishing-related assets (other than titled motor vehicles and land)

27. Does the debtor own or lease any farming or fishing-related assets (other than titled motor vehicles and land)?
☒ No. Go to Part 7.
☐ Yes. Fill in the information below.

General description	Net book value of debtor's interest (Where available)	Valuation method used for current value	Current value of debtor's interest
28. Crops--either planted or harvested			
29. Farm animals <i>Examples:</i> Livestock, poultry, farm-raised fish			
30. Farm machinery and equipment (Other than titled motor vehicles)			
31. Farm and fishing supplies, chemicals, and feed			
32. Other farming and fishing-related property not already listed in Part 6			
33. Total of Part 6. Add lines 28 through 32. Copy the total to line 85.			\$0.00
34. Is the debtor a member of an agricultural cooperative? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Is any of the debtor's property stored at the cooperative? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes			
35. Has any of the property listed in Part 6 been purchased within 20 days before the bankruptcy was filed? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Book value _____ Valuation method _____ Current value _____			
36. Is a depreciation schedule available for any of the property listed in Part 6? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes			
37. Has any of the property listed in Part 6 been appraised by a professional within the last year? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes			

Debtor Technovative Media, Inc. Case number (if known) 23-10764
Name

Part 7: Office furniture, fixtures, and equipment; and collectibles

38. Does the debtor own or lease any office furniture, fixtures, equipment, or collectibles?

- ☒ No. Go to Part 8.
☐ Yes. Fill in the information below.

General description	Net book value of debtor's interest (Where available)	Valuation method used for current value	Current value of debtor's interest
39. Office furniture			
40. Office fixtures			
41. Office equipment, including all computer equipment and communication systems equipment and software			
42. Collectibles Examples: Antiques and figurines; paintings, prints, or other artwork; books, pictures, or other art objects; china and crystal; stamp, coin, or baseball card collections; other collections, memorabilia, or collectibles			

43. Total of Part 7.

Add lines 39 through 42. Copy the total to line 86.

\$0.00

44. Is a depreciation schedule available for any of the property listed in Part 7?

- ☐ No
☐ Yes

45. Has any of the property listed in Part 7 been appraised by a professional within the last year?

- ☐ No
☐ Yes

Part 8: Machinery, equipment, and vehicles

46. Does the debtor own or lease any machinery, equipment, or vehicles?

- ☒ No. Go to Part 9.
☐ Yes. Fill in the information below.

General description Include year, make, model, and identification numbers (i.e., VIN, HIN, or N-number)	Net book value of debtor's interest (Where available)	Valuation method used for current value	Current value of debtor's interest
47. Automobiles, vans, trucks, motorcycles, trailers, and titled farm vehicles			
48. Watercraft, trailers, motors, and related accessories Examples: Boats trailers, motors, floating homes, personal watercraft, and fishing vessels			
49. Aircraft and accessories			
50. Other machinery, fixtures, and equipment (excluding farm machinery and equipment)			

51. Total of Part 8.

Add lines 47 through 50. Copy the total to line 87.

\$0.00

52. Is a depreciation schedule available for any of the property listed in Part 8?

- ☐ No
☐ Yes

53. Has any of the property listed in Part 8 been appraised by a professional within the last year?

- ☐ No
☐ Yes

Debtor **Technovative Media, Inc.** Case number (if known) **23-10764**
Name

Part 9: Real property

54. Does the debtor own or lease any real property?

- ☒ No. Go to Part 10.
☐ Yes. Fill in the information below.

55. Any building, other improved real estate, or land which the debtor owns or in which the debtor has an interest

Description and location of property Include street address or other description such as Assessor Parcel Number (APN), and type of property (for example, acreage, factory, warehouse, apartment or office building), if available.	Nature and extent of debtor's interest in property	Net book value of debtor's interest (Where available)	Valuation method used for current value	Current value of debtor's interest

56. Total of Part 9.

Add the current value on lines 55.1 through 55.6 and entries from any additional sheets. Copy the total to line 88.

\$0.00

57. Is a depreciation schedule available for any of the property listed in Part 9?

- ☐ No
☐ Yes

58. Has any of the property listed in Part 9 been appraised by a professional within the last year?

- ☐ No
☐ Yes

Part 10: Intangibles and Intellectual Property

59. Does the debtor have any interests in intangibles or intellectual property?

- ☒ No. Go to Part 11.
☐ Yes. Fill in the information below.

General description	Net book value of debtor's interest (Where available)	Valuation method used for current value	Current value of debtor's interest

60. Patents, copyrights, trademarks, and trade secrets

61. Internet domain names and websites

62. Licenses, franchises, and royalties

63. Customer lists, mailing lists, or other compilations

64. Other intangibles, or intellectual property

65. Goodwill

66. Total of Part 10.

Add lines 60 through 65. Copy the total to line 89.

\$0.00

67. Do your lists or records include personally identifiable information of customers (as defined in 11 U.S.C. §§ 101(41A) and 107)?

- ☐ No
☐ Yes

68. Is there an amortization or other similar schedule available for any of the property listed in Part 10?

- ☐ No
☐ Yes

69. Has any of the property listed in Part 10 been appraised by a professional within the last year?

- ☐ No
☐ Yes

Debtor Technovative Media, Inc. Case number (if known) 23-10764
Name

Part 11: All other assets

70. Does the debtor own any other assets that have not yet been reported on this form?

Include all interests in executory contracts and unexpired leases not previously reported on this form.

- ☐ No. Go to Part 12.
☒ Yes. Fill in the information below.

Current value of
debtor's interest

71. Notes receivable

Description (include name of obligor)

72. Tax refunds and unused net operating losses (NOLs)

Description (for example, federal, state, local)

73. Interests in insurance policies or annuities

General Liability & Professional Liability
Hiscox Insurance Company, Inc.
Email: Dain.Wise@insurica.com
Phone: 405-310-1583
Policy No. P101.635.923

\$0.00

74. Causes of action against third parties (whether or not a lawsuit has been filed)

**75. Other contingent and unliquidated claims or causes of action of every nature,
including counterclaims of the debtor and rights to set off claims**

76. Trusts, equitable or future interests in property

77. Other property of any kind not already listed Examples: Season tickets, country club membership

78. Total of Part 11.

Add lines 71 through 77. Copy the total to line 90.

\$0.00

79. Has any of the property listed in Part 11 been appraised by a professional within the last year?

- ☒ No
☐ Yes

Debtor Technovative Media, Inc. Case number (if known) 23-10764
Name

Part 12: Summary

In Part 12 copy all of the totals from the earlier parts of the form.

Type of property	Current value of personal property	Current value of real property
80. Cash, cash equivalents, and financial assets. <i>Copy line 5, Part 1.</i>	<u>\$0.00</u>	
81. Deposits and prepayments. <i>Copy line 9, Part 2.</i>	<u>\$0.00</u>	
82. Accounts receivable. <i>Copy line 12, Part 3.</i>	<u>\$0.00</u>	
83. Investments. <i>Copy line 17, Part 4.</i>	<u>\$2,000.00</u>	
84. Inventory. <i>Copy line 23, Part 5.</i>	<u>\$0.00</u>	
85. Farming and fishing-related assets. <i>Copy line 33, Part 6.</i>	<u>\$0.00</u>	
86. Office furniture, fixtures, and equipment; and collectibles. <i>Copy line 43, Part 7.</i>	<u>\$0.00</u>	
87. Machinery, equipment, and vehicles. <i>Copy line 51, Part 8.</i>	<u>\$0.00</u>	
88. Real property. <i>Copy line 56, Part 9.....</i> →		<div><u>\$0.00</u></div>
89. Intangibles and intellectual property. <i>Copy line 66, Part 10.</i>	<u>\$0.00</u>	
90. All other assets. <i>Copy line 78, Part 11.</i>	<div>+ <u>\$0.00</u></div>	
91. Total. Add lines 80 through 90 for each column. 91a.	<div><u>\$2,000.00</u></div>	<div>+ 91b. <u>\$0.00</u></div>
92. Total of all property on Schedule A/B. Lines 91a + 91b = 92.....		<div><u>\$2,000.00</u></div>

GLOBAL NOTES TO SCHEDULES AND STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL AFFAIRS OF DEBTORS, STREAM TV NETWORKS, INC., CASE NO. 23-10763(mdc) AND TECHNOVATIVE MEDIA, INC., CAUSE NO. 10764 (Joint Administration Requested)

The Debtors develop their technology and conduct the majority of their business through their direct and indirect foreign and domestic subsidiaries. The Debtors provided capital to their direct and indirect foreign and domestic subsidiaries for the purpose of acquiring and developing assets and to conduct business operations. The Debtors direct interested parties to the *Declaration of Mathu Rajan in Support of Stream TV Networks, Inc. and Technovative Media, Inc. Chapter 11 Petition, Supporting Emergency Relief, and First Day Motions* [Docket No. 48] (“Rajan Declaration”) in which the Debtors’ organizational and operational structure is described in greater detail.

In its bankruptcy schedules, the Debtors disclose and describe the assets, including their ownership interest in direct subsidiaries and their contracts, that the Debtors owned as of March 15, 2023 (the “Petition Date”). The Debtors’ direct subsidiaries, in turn, hold or may hold ownership interests in the Debtors’ indirect subsidiaries as depicted on the Debtor’s Organization Chart included in the Rajans Declaration. The assets of the Debtors’ direct and indirect subsidiaries are not included in the Debtors’ bankruptcy schedules.

The Debtors intend to complete a recovery of assets which were previously and improperly transferred in contravention of express provisions of its corporate charter through an invalidated board settlement agreement as confirmed by the Delaware Supreme Court through an *en banc* unanimous decision on June 15, 2022. *See Stream TV Networks, Inc. v. Seecubic, Inc.*, No. 360, 2021, p. 3 (Del. June 15, 2022) (Holding that “a majority vote of Class B stockholders is required under Stream’s charter” to “transfer pledged assets to secured creditors in connection with what was, in essence, a privately structured foreclosure transaction”).¹ The Delaware Supreme Court also held that the “agreement authorizing the secured creditors to transfer Stream’s pledged assets . . . is **invalid** because Stream’s unambiguous certificate of incorporation required the approval of Stream’s Class B stockholders.” *Id.* at p. 34. (emphasis added).

¹ A debt resolution committee of the Debtor’s Board of Directors purporting to act on the Debtor’s behalf reached an unauthorized settlement enshrined in an agreement titled as the Omnibus Agreement, dated May 6, 2021, between the Debtor’s senior secured lender, SLS Holdings VI, LLC (“SLS”) and the Debtor’s debt resolution committee, which was which approved by the Delaware Court of Chancery and then held to be invalid by the Delaware Supreme Court on appeal. Prior to the Delaware Supreme Court ruling the SLS Holdings and its newly formed company,

The new company formed by the purported secured lenders,² SeeCubic, Inc. (“SeeCubic”),³ asserted an ownership interest in all of the Debtor’s assets and took possession of, and in some cases, destroyed the Debtors’ assets. The Chancery Court, on remand and with direction from the Delaware Supreme Court to effectuate the dismissal and vacating of its prior injunctive relief, has made it clear that the assets must be returned **both in title and possession to the Debtors.**⁴ Furthermore, the Chancery Court on August 10, 2022, issued an *Order Granting Partial Final Judgment* in favor of the Debtor. The order stated: “Pending transfer of the Assets from SeeCubic to Stream, SeeCubic and all those acting in concert with it shall not use, impair, encumber, or transfer the Assets, except as necessary to maintain the Assets in the ordinary course of business and preserve their value pending transfer to Stream.” Rajan Declaration, Exhibit BB. Despite these clear directives, the purported secured lenders have resisted and continue to resist return of the assets.

The Debtors have also been alerted to SeeCubic’s violation of intellectual property licenses which were held by the Debtors and were non-transferable, actions violating third party rights under applicable Federal and state law which cannot be remedied or cleansed by the invalidated settlement, even prior to its invalidation by the Delaware Supreme Court. Even before the Omnibus Agreement was invalidated, the transfers themselves were invalid under both a Phillips license to the Debtors and a Rembrandt 3D Holding Ltd. (“Rembrandt”) license, both parties not subject to the settlement or any now invalidated injunctive relief by the Chancery Court.⁵

The Debtors have been subjected to continuous damage by the purported secured creditors, even after the Supreme Court decision. SeeCubic, the purported lenders, and Mr. Shad Stastney of SeeCubic and SLS, were held in contempt by the Delaware Court of Chancery in October 2022 for their orchestrated efforts to seize control of the Debtor’s subsidiary, Technovative Media, Inc.,

² The Debtors contend that SLS Holdings VI, LLC (“SLS”) and Hawk Investment Holdings Limited (“Hawk”) hold secured debt convertible to equity or must pursue their claims in chapter 11 where they will be paid in full, if their claims are allowed.

³ SeeCubic, Inc., a Delaware entity, took its name from a foreign Dutch subsidiary of the Debtors, SeeCubic B.V. (The Netherlands) (“SCBV”) and is likely in violation of trademark laws protecting the Debtors and its foreign subsidiary).

⁴ On August 9, 2022, nearly 8 weeks after the Delaware Supreme Court opinion, the Chancery Court issued a TRO against SeeCubic. Vice Chancellor Laster specifically stated his expectations: “SeeCubic will restore Stream’s assets to Stream in accordance with the Rule 54(b) order. Once this has occurred, SeeCubic may seek to exercise any creditor’s rights it possesses against Stream. SeeCubic must do so based on a status quo where Stream has title to and possession of its assets, not a status quo in which SeeCubic acquired possession based on a decision that the Delaware Supreme Court has held is erroneous.”

⁵ On February 21, 2023, Rembrandt filed suit in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware against parties using the Ultra-D technology without a Rembrandt license. It sued Technovative USA, which was under the direction of the Chancery Court-appointed Receiver, Hawk, and SeeCubic for trade secret violations and injunctive relief to prevent the sale of Stream’s assets *Rembrandt 3D Holding Ltd v Technovative Media, Inc., Hawk Investment Holdings Ltd. and SeeCubic, Inc.*

in the months after the Supreme Court decision. In ¶ 3 of his October 3, 2022 Opinion, Vice Chancellor Laster wrote: “This decision holds that SeeCubic and Hawk engaged in contumacious conduct. Shad L. Stastney was the puppet master who pulled the strings. He controls SeeCubic and Technovative, and he also controls SLS Holdings VI, LLC (“SLS”), Stream’s only secured creditor other than Hawk.” *See* Rajan Declaration, Exhibit AA.

SLS and SeeCubic possess some and claim to have obtained possession or control of other of the Debtor’s assets before the Petition Date. The Debtor’s investigation into the location and condition of its assets is ongoing. The Debtors have listed the assets that they believe they continue to own, unless otherwise expressly indicated in its bankruptcy schedules. The Debtors intend to pursue recovery of assets and to operate their business under the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code in this case.

Additional Notes:

1. Because US GAAP treatment may not apply to the Debtor’s assets located in foreign jurisdictions, the values provided for certain assets may differ from typical accounting standards.
2. Funding advanced by the Debtors to their direct and indirect subsidiaries are reflected in intercompany loans.
3. The Debtors’ goodwill and similar intangible value is not reflected in the Debtors’ bankruptcy schedules.
4. SeeCubic BV (the Netherlands) is a subsidiary of the Debtors, SeeCubic BV (the Netherlands) is unrelated to and is a separate entity from SeeCubic Inc., a company newly formed in Delaware by SLS Holdings, Inc.

Fill in this information to identify the case:

Debtor name Technovative Media, Inc.

United States Bankruptcy Court for the: EASTERN DIST. OF PENNSYLVANIA

Case number 23-10764
(if known)

☐ Check if this is an amended filing

Official Form 207

Statement of Financial Affairs for Non-Individuals Filing for Bankruptcy

04/22

The debtor must answer every question. If more space is needed, attach a separate sheet to this form. On the top of any additional pages, write the debtor's name and case number (if known).

Part 1: Income

1. Gross revenue from business

☐ None

Identify the beginning and ending dates of the debtor's fiscal year, which may be a calendar year

Sources of revenue
Check all that apply.

Gross revenue
(before deductions and exclusions)

From the beginning of the fiscal year to filing date:

From 01/01/2023 to
MM/DD/YYYY

Filing date

☐ Operating a business
☐ Other _____

\$0.00

For prior year:

From 01/01/2022 to
MM/DD/YYYY

12/31/2022
MM/DD/YYYY

☐ Operating a business
☐ Other _____

\$0.00

For the year before that:

From 01/01/2021 to
MM/DD/YYYY

12/31/2021
MM/DD/YYYY

☐ Operating a business
☐ Other _____

\$0.00

2. Non-business revenue

Include revenue regardless of whether that revenue is taxable. *Non-business income* may include interest, dividends, money collected from lawsuits, and royalties. List each source and the gross revenue for each separately. Do not include revenue listed in line 1.

☒ None

Part 2: List Certain Transfers Made Before Filing for Bankruptcy

3. Certain payments or transfers to creditors within 90 days before filing this case

List payments or transfers—including expense reimbursements—to any creditor, other than regular employee compensation, within 90 days before filing this case unless the aggregate value of all property transferred to that creditor is less than \$7,575. (This amount may be adjusted on 4/01/25 and every 3 years after that with respect to cases filed on or after the date of adjustment.)

☒ None

Debtor Technovative Media, Inc. Case number (if known) 23-10764
Name

4. Payments or other transfers of property made within 1 year before filing this case that benefited any insider

List payments or transfers, including expense reimbursements, made within 1 year before filing this case on debts owed to an insider or guaranteed or co-signed by an insider unless the aggregate value of all property transferred to or for the benefit of the insider is less than \$7,575. (This amount may be adjusted on 4/01/25 and every 3 years after that with respect to cases filed on or after the date of adjustment.) Do not include any payments listed in line 3. Insiders include officers, directors, and anyone in control of a corporate debtor and their relatives; general partners of a partnership debtor and their relatives; affiliates of the debtor and insiders of such affiliates; and any managing agent of the debtor. 11 U.S.C. § 101(31).

☒ None

5. Repossessions, foreclosures, and returns

List all property of the debtor that was obtained by a creditor within 1 year before filing this case, including property repossessed by a creditor, sold at a foreclosure sale, transferred by a deed in lieu of foreclosure, or returned to the seller. Do not include property listed in line 6.

☒ None

6. Setoffs

List any creditor, including a bank or financial institution, that within 90 days before filing this case set off or otherwise took anything from an account of the debtor without permission or refused to make a payment at the debtor's direction from an account of the debtor because the debtor owed a debt.

☒ None

Part 3: Legal Actions or Assignments

7. Legal actions, administrative proceedings, court actions, executions, attachments, or governmental audits

List the legal actions, proceedings, investigations, arbitrations, mediations, and audits by federal or state agencies in which the debtor was involved in any capacity--within 1 year before filing this case.

☐ None

Case title		Nature of case	Court or agency's name and address		Status of case	
7.1.	Rembrandt 3D Holding Ltd v. Stream TV Network, Inc. et al.	Business dispute	USDC of New York (SD)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pending	
			Name		<input type="checkbox"/> On appeal	
			Street		<input type="checkbox"/> Concluded	
Case number			City		State	ZIP Code
17-CV-882 (RA)						
Case title		Nature of case	Court or agency's name and address		Status of case	
7.2.	Hawk Investment Holdings LTD v. Stream TV Networks, Inc. et al.	Business dispute	Delaware Chancery Court		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pending	
			Name		<input type="checkbox"/> On appeal	
			Street		<input type="checkbox"/> Concluded	
Case number			City		State	ZIP Code
2022-0930-JTL						

Debtor Technovative Media, Inc. Case number (if known) 23-10764
Name

8. Assignments and receivership

List any property in the hands of an assignee for the benefit of creditors during the 120 days before filing this case and any property in the hands of a receiver, custodian, or other court-appointed officer within 1 year before filing this case.

☐ None

Custodian's name and address Ian Liston (appointed & resigned) <small>Custodian's name</small> WILSON SONSINI <small>Street</small> 222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 800 Wilmington DE 19801 <small>City State ZIP Code</small>	Description of the property Case title Hawk Investment Holdings Ltd. v. Stream Case number 2022-0930-JTL Date of order or assignment 10/20/22	Value Court name and address Court of Chancery of Delaware <small>Name</small> <small>Street</small> <small>City State ZIP Code</small>
---	--	---

Part 4: Certain Gifts and Charitable Contributions

9. List all gifts or charitable contributions the debtor gave to a recipient within 2 years before filing this case unless the aggregate value of the gifts to that recipient is less than \$1,000

☒ None

Part 5: Certain Losses

10. All losses from fire, theft, or other casualty within 1 year before filing this case.

☒ None

Part 6: Certain Payments or Transfers

11. Payments related to bankruptcy

List any payments of money or other transfers of property made by the debtor or person acting on behalf of the debtor within 1 year before the filing of this case to another person or entity, including attorneys, that the debtor consulted about debt consolidation or restructuring, seeking bankruptcy relief, or filing a bankruptcy case.

☐ None

	Who was paid or who received the transfer?	If not money, describe any property transferred	Dates	Total amount or value
11.1.	<u>Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith</u>		<u>3/2023</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
	Address			
	<u>500 Delaware Avenue, Suite 700</u> <small>Street</small>			
	<u>Wilmington</u> <small>City</small>	<u>DE</u> <small>State</small>	<u>19801</u> <small>ZIP Code</small>	
	Email or website address			
	<u>lewisbrisbois.com</u>			
	Who made the payment, if not debtor?			
	<u></u>			

Debtor Technovative Media, Inc. Case number (if known) 23-10764
Name

Who was paid or who received the transfer?	If not money, describe any property transferred	Dates	Total amount or value
11.2. <u>BMC Group, Inc.</u>		<u>3/2023</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>

Address

600 First Avenue

Street

Seattle

City

WA

State

98104

ZIP Code

Email or website address

Who made the payment, if not debtor?

12. Self-settled trusts of which the debtor is a beneficiary

List any payments or transfers of property made by the debtor or a person acting on behalf of the debtor within 10 years before the filing of this case to a self-settled trust or similar device. Do not include transfers already listed on this statement.

☒ None

13. Transfers not already listed on this statement

List any transfers of money or other property--by sale, trade, or any other means--made by the debtor or a person acting on behalf of the debtor within 2 years before the filing of this case to another person, other than property transferred in the ordinary course of business or financial affairs. Include both outright transfers and transfers made as security. Do not include gifts or transfers previously listed on this statement.

☒ None

Part 7: Previous Locations

14. Previous addresses

List all previous addresses used by the debtor within 3 years before filing this case and the dates the addresses were used.

☐ Does not apply

Address

Dates of occupancy

14.1. 2726 Bayview Drive

Street

From 2019

To 2020

Fremont

City

CA

State

94538

ZIP Code

Address

Dates of occupancy

14.2. 2009 Chestnut Street

Street

From 2009

To present

3rd Floor

Philadelphia

City

PA

State

19103

ZIP Code

Debtor Technovative Media, Inc. Case number (if known) 23-10764
Name

Part 8: Health Care Bankruptcies

15. Health Care bankruptcies

Is the debtor primarily engaged in offering services and facilities for:

- ☐ diagnosing or treating injury, deformity, or disease, or
- ☐ providing any surgical, psychiatric, drug treatment, or obstetric care?

- ☒ No. Go to Part 9.
☐ Yes. Fill in the information below.

Part 9: Personally Identifiable Information

16. Does the debtor collect and retain personally identifiable information of customers?

- ☒ No.
☐ Yes. State the nature of the information collected and retained _____
Does the debtor have a privacy policy about that information?
☐ No.
☐ Yes.

17. Within 6 years before filing this case, have any employees of the debtor been participants in any ERISA, 401(k), 403(b) or other pension or profit-sharing plan made available by the debtor as an employee benefit?

- ☒ No. Go to Part 10.
☐ Yes. Does the debtor serve as plan administrator?
☐ No. Go to Part 10.
☐ Yes. Fill in below:

Part 10: Certain Financial Accounts, Safe Deposit Boxes, and Storage Units

18. Closed financial accounts

Within 1 year before filing this case, were any financial accounts or instruments held in the debtor's name, or for the debtor's benefit, closed, sold, moved, or transferred?

Include checking, savings, money market, or other financial accounts, certificates of deposit, and shares in banks, credit unions, brokerage houses, cooperatives, associations, and other financial institutions.

- ☒ None

19. Safe deposit boxes

List any safe deposit box or other depository for securities, cash, or other valuables the debtor now has or did have within 1 year before filing this case.

- ☒ None

20. Off-premises storage

List any property kept in storage units or warehouses within 1 year before filing this case. Do not include facilities that are in a part of a building in which the debtor does business.

- ☒ None

Debtor Technovative Media, Inc. Case number (if known) 23-10764
Name

Part 11: Property the Debtor Holds or Controls That the Debtor Does Not Own

21. Property held for another

List any property that the debtor holds or controls that another entity owns. Include any property borrowed from, being stored for, or held in trust. Do not list leased or rented property.

☒ None

Part 12: Details About Environmental Information

For the purpose of Part 12, the following definitions apply:

- *Environmental law* means any statute or governmental regulation that concerns pollution, contamination, or hazardous material, regardless of the medium affected (air, land, water, or any other medium).
- *Site* means any location, facility, or property, including disposal sites, that the debtor now owns, operates, or utilizes or that the debtor formerly owned, operated, or utilized.
- *Hazardous material* means anything that an environmental law defines as hazardous or toxic, or describes as a pollutant, contaminant, or a similarly harmful substance.

Report all notices, releases, and proceedings known, regardless of when they occurred.

22. Has the debtor been a party in any judicial or administrative proceeding under any environmental law?

Include settlements and orders.

- ☒ No
☐ Yes. Provide details below.

23. Has any governmental unit otherwise notified the debtor that the debtor may be liable or potentially liable under or in violation of an environmental law?

- ☒ No
☐ Yes. Provide details below.

24. Has the debtor notified any governmental unit of any release of hazardous material?

- ☒ No
☐ Yes. Provide details below.

Part 13: Details About the Debtor's Business or Connections to Any Business

25. Other businesses in which the debtor has or has had an interest

List any business for which the debtor was an owner, partner, member, or otherwise a person in control within 6 years before filing this case. Include this information even if already listed in the Schedules.

☐ None

	Business name and address	Describe the nature of the business	Employer Identification number
25.1.	<u>Stream TV Networks, Inc.</u> <small>Name</small> <u>2009 Chestnut Street, 3rd Floor</u> <small>Street</small> <u>Philadelphia</u> <u>PA</u> <u>19103</u> <small>City</small> <small>State</small> <small>ZIP Code</small>	Parent	Do not include Social Security number or ITIN. EIN: <u>2</u> <u>7</u> - <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>2</u> <u>4</u> <u>0</u> <u>9</u> <u>2</u> Dates business existed From <u>2011</u> To <u>present</u>
25.2.	<u>Technology Holdings Delaware, LLC</u> <small>Name</small> <u>2009 Chestnut Street, 3rd Floor</u> <small>Street</small> <u>Philadelphia</u> <u>PA</u> <u>19103</u> <small>City</small> <small>State</small> <small>ZIP Code</small>	Holding Company File No. 5061735	Do not include Social Security number or ITIN. EIN: <u> </u> <u> </u> - <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> Dates business existed From <u>2011</u> To <u>present</u>

Debtor Technovative Media, Inc. Case number (if known) 23-10764

Name

- | | | | |
|-------|---|---|---|
| 25.3. | Business name and address
Media Holdings Delaware, LLC
<small>Name</small>
2009 Chestnut Street, 3rd Floor
<small>Street</small>

Philadelphia PA 19103
<small>City State ZIP Code</small> | Describe the nature of the business
Holding Company
File No. 5061759 | Employer Identification number
Do not include Social Security number or ITIN.

EIN: <u> </u> - <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u>

Dates business existed
From <u> 2011 </u> To <u> present </u> |
| 25.4. | Business name and address
Ultra-D Ventures C.V.
<small>Name</small>
Curacao
<small>Street</small>

<small>City State ZIP Code</small> | Describe the nature of the business
Holding Company | Employer Identification number
Do not include Social Security number or ITIN.

EIN: <u> 9 </u> <u> 8 </u> - <u> 1 </u> <u> 0 </u> <u> 3 </u> <u> 3 </u> <u> 7 </u> <u> 5 </u> <u> 5 </u>

Dates business existed
From <u> 2011 </u> To <u> present </u> |
| 25.5. | Business name and address
Ultra-D Coopertief U.A.
<small>Name</small>
The Netherlands
<small>Street</small>

<small>City State ZIP Code</small> | Describe the nature of the business
Holding Company | Employer Identification number
Do not include Social Security number or ITIN.

EIN: <u> 9 </u> <u> 8 </u> - <u> 1 </u> <u> 0 </u> <u> 3 </u> <u> 3 </u> <u> 7 </u> <u> 9 </u> <u> 3 </u>

Dates business existed
From <u> 2011 </u> To <u> present </u> |
| 25.6. | Business name and address
Stream TV International B.V.
<small>Name</small>
The Netherlands
<small>Street</small>

<small>City State ZIP Code</small> | Describe the nature of the business
Operating Company | Employer Identification number
Do not include Social Security number or ITIN.

EIN: <u> 9 </u> <u> 8 </u> - <u> 1 </u> <u> 0 </u> <u> 3 </u> <u> 3 </u> <u> 3 </u> <u> 4 </u> <u> 6 </u>

Dates business existed
From <u> 2011 </u> To <u> present </u> |
| 25.7. | Business name and address
SeeCubic B.V.
<small>Name</small>
The Netherlands
<small>Street</small>

<small>City State ZIP Code</small> | Describe the nature of the business
Operating Company | Employer Identification number
Do not include Social Security number or ITIN.

EIN: <u> 9 </u> <u> 8 </u> - <u> 1 </u> <u> 0 </u> <u> 3 </u> <u> 3 </u> <u> 7 </u> <u> 6 </u> <u> 8 </u>

Dates business existed
From <u> 2011 </u> To <u> present </u> |
| 25.8. | Business name and address
Stream TV International B.V.
Taiwan Rep Office
<small>Name</small>
Taiwan
<small>Street</small>

<small>City State ZIP Code</small> | Describe the nature of the business
Operating Company | Employer Identification number
Do not include Social Security number or ITIN.

EIN: <u> </u> - <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u>

Dates business existed
From <u> 2018 </u> To <u> present </u> |
| 25.9. | Business name and address
Stream TV 3D Technology (Suzhou) Co. Ltd.
<small>Name</small>
China
<small>Street</small>

<small>City State ZIP Code</small> | Describe the nature of the business
Operating Company | Employer Identification number
Do not include Social Security number or ITIN.

EIN: <u> </u> - <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u>

Dates business existed
From <u> 2018 </u> To <u> present </u> |

Debtor Technovative Media, Inc. Case number (if known) 23-10764

Name

25.10. **Business name and address** **Describe the nature of the business** **Employer Identification number**
Shanghai Ruishi Technology Co., Ltd. **Operating Company** Do not include Social Security number or ITIN.
 Name **EIN:** _____
China
 Street

 City State ZIP Code

Dates business existed

From 2019 To present

26. Books, records, and financial statements

26a. List all accountants and bookkeepers who maintained the debtor's books and records within 2 years before filing this case.

☐ None

Name and address

Dates of service

26a.1. **INNOVENTURES** From 2009 To 2022
 Name
1105 William Penn Drive
 Street

Bensalem PA 19020
 City State ZIP Code

26b. List all firms or individuals who have audited, compiled, or reviewed debtor's books of account and records or prepared a financial statement within 2 years before filing this case.

☒ None

26c. List all firms or individuals who were in possession of the debtor's books of account and records when this case is filed.

☐ None

Name and address

If any books of account and records are unavailable, explain why

26c.1. **INNOVENTURES**
 Name
1105 William Penn Drive
 Street

Bensalem PA 19020
 City State ZIP Code

26d. List all financial institutions, creditors, and other parties, including mercantile and trade agencies, to whom the debtor issued a financial statement within 2 years before filing this case.

☒ None

Debtor Technovative Media, Inc. Case number (if known) 23-10764
Name

27. Inventories

Have any inventories of the debtor's property been taken within 2 years before filing this case?

- ☒ No.
☐ Yes. Give the details about the two most recent inventories.

28. List the debtor's officers, directors, managing members, general partners, members in control, controlling shareholders, or other people in control of the debtor at the time of the filing of this case.

Name	Address	Position and nature of any interest	% of interest, if any
Mathu Rajan	1105 William Penn Drive Bensalem, PA 19020	CEO & Director	

29. Within 1 year before the filing of this case, did the debtor have officers, directors, managing members, general partners, members in control of the debtor, or shareholders in control of the debtor who no longer hold these positions?

- ☒ No
☐ Yes. Identify below.

Name	Address	Position and nature of any interest	Period during which position or interest was held
------	---------	-------------------------------------	---

30. Payments, distributions, or withdrawals credited or given to insiders

Within 1 year before filing this case, did the debtor provide an insider with value in any form, including salary, other compensation, draws, bonuses, loans, credits on loans, stock redemptions, and options exercised?

- ☒ No
☐ Yes. Identify below.

31. Within 6 years before filing this case, has the debtor been a member of any consolidated group for tax purposes?

- ☐ No
☒ Yes. Identify below.

Name of the parent corporation Stream TV Networks, Inc. Employer Identification number of the parent corporation EIN: 2 7 - 1 2 2 4 0 9 2

32. Within 6 years before filing this case, has the debtor as an employer been responsible for contributing to a pension fund?

- ☒ No
☐ Yes. Identify below.

Part 14: Signature and Declaration

WARNING -- Bankruptcy fraud is a serious crime. Making a false statement, concealing property, or obtaining money or property by fraud in connection with a bankruptcy case can result in fines up to \$500,000 or imprisonment for up to 20 years, or both.
18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 1341, 1519, and 3571.

I have examined the information in this *Statement of Financial Affairs* and any attachments and have a reasonable belief that the information is true and correct.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on 03/29/2023
MM / DD / YYYY

X Mathu Rajan Printed name Mathu Rajan
Signature of individual signing on behalf of the debtor
Position or relationship to debtor CEO and Director

Are additional pages to *Statement of Financial Affairs for Non-Individuals Filing for Bankruptcy* (Official Form 207) attached?

- ☒ No
☐ Yes